## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

IN RE DETENTION OF	)
CURTIS POUNCY;	) No. 81769-3
STATE OF WASHINGTON,	)
	) STATEMENT
Petitioner,	) OF ADDITIONAL≺ S S
	) AUTHORITY $3000 \times 500$
VS.	
CURTIS POUNCY,	
	) A R SOX
Respondent.	
D	
Fursuant to RAP 10.8, petition	er cites to the following additional

authority:

Jacqueline's Washington, Inc. v. Mercantile Stores Co., 80 Wn.2d 784, 788-89, 498 P.2d 870 (1972) (evidence properly admitted to impeach by contradiction constitutes an exception to the general rule that impeachment evidence affects only the credibility of the witness and is incompetent to prove substantive facts; impeachment by contradiction is competent to prove the substantive facts encompassed in such evidence; impeachment by contradiction is not within any exception to the hearsay rule).

DATED this Light of September 2009.

Respectfully submitted,

NIELSEN, BROMAN & KOCH

CASEY GRANNIS WSBA No. 37301 Office ID No. 91051 Attorneys for Respondent

FILED AS ATTACHMENT TO EMAIL